

Massey University

CODE OF ETHICAL CONDUCT

For the Use of

Animals for Research, Testing and Teaching

Effective from 20 December 2018 to 19 December 2023

Contents

Treaty of Waitangi Obligations and Principles.....	3
1 Introduction / Background on the Activities of the Organisation	4
2 Functions, Powers and Membership of the Animal Ethics Committee	4
3 AEC Procedures.....	7
4 Consideration of Protocols by the AEC.....	9
5 Responsibilities of Applicants	12
6 Monitoring	16
7 Complaints Procedures.....	17
8 Table of References to Documents Relevant to the Operation of the Massey University Animal Ethics Committee.....	18

Treaty of Waitangi Obligations and Principles

Massey is a Tiriti-led university committed to upholding the principles of partnership, participation and protection implied by the Treaty of Waitangi. The Treaty principles are not specific to research, but they nonetheless provide general obligations and considerations of relevance to all working in RTT in Aotearoa.

Massey University looks to the comprehensive review of mātauranga Māori (Māori knowledge) on research ethics undertaken for the Health Research Council by the Putaiora writing group in *Te Ara Tika*¹. This provides a Māori ethics framework which extrapolates the Treaty principles and applies tikanga (cultural) concepts into research contexts. It is based on four principles that we have adapted to the animal ethics environment:

Whakapapa (relationships): Consulting meaningfully and developing authentic relationships contributes to the ethical tenor of all research. Where research is of direct relevance to iwi, procedures for consultation are set out in this code and Massey expects all researchers to consult with relevant stakeholders in a culturally appropriate manner prior to undertaking any research.

Tika (purposefulness): Research involving animals should only be carried out in circumstances where the perceived benefits outweigh the harms caused. In this context, purposefulness is the expectation that the research is well designed and carried out to a sufficient standard to be able to deliver these benefits (i.e. that it is fit for purpose).

Manākitanga (cultural and social responsibility): All participants in research should act with respect for others. This respect extends to all sentient beings involved in the research, be they human or members of other species.

Mana (justice and equity): When research involves animals, the benefits of the research often accrue to a different group than those that bear the costs. Under these circumstances, particular care should be taken to ensure that the group bearing the costs is treated with as much care as possible in order to minimise this imbalance.

1 Hudson, M., Milne, M., Reynolds, P., Russell, K. & Smith, B. (no date). *Te Ara Tika Guidelines for Māori research ethics: A framework for researchers and ethics committee members*. Health Research Council of New Zealand, Auckland.

1 Introduction / Background on the Activities of the Organisation

1.1 Research, Testing and Teaching and the Three Rs

- 1.1.1 Users of animals for research, testing and teaching (RTT) or production of biologically active agents have ethical and legal responsibility for the welfare of their animals.
- 1.1.2 Legal responsibilities in relation to this code holder are set down in the Animal Welfare Act 1999, specifically Part 6, and in all codes of animal welfare approved under that Act as listed on the Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI) Animal Welfare website.
- 1.1.3 In making decisions on whether to approve an application, the Animal Ethics Committee (AEC) will subscribe to the principles of replacement, reduction and refinement as defined in the Animal Welfare Act 1999 (Section 80).
- 1.1.4 No animals will be used for RTT without prior approval of the AEC (with the exceptions described in paragraph 4.1.9).

1.2 Responsible Persons

The Massey University Code of Ethical Conduct (the “Code”) is to be administered by an AEC, which is responsible to the Vice-Chancellor through the Provost.

1.3 Persons/Organisations under the CEC

The Code applies to all Massey University staff and students and all animals used for research, testing and teaching.

2 Functions, Powers and Membership of the Animal Ethics Committee

2.1 Functions, duties and powers of the AEC

The AEC will implement the Code according to its Terms of Reference.

2.2 Membership of the AEC

The membership of the AEC shall be comprised as follows:

- Chairperson appointed by the Vice-Chancellor, on the recommendation of the AEC, for a term of three (3) years. The Chairperson shall be a senior staff member capable of evaluating projects, the skills of the applicant and the scientific or teaching value of the project.

- One (1) member nominated by the Royal New Zealand Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (RNZSPCA), who is not employed by or associated with the University, or involved in the use of animals for research, testing or teaching.
- One (1) member nominated by Horizons Regional Council, who is not employed by or associated with the University, or associated with the scientific community or animal welfare agency.
- One (1) veterinarian nominated by the New Zealand Veterinary Association, who is not employed by or associated with the University in a manner that could result in a conflict of interest.
- Five (5) academic members:
 - Two (2) members appointed by the Pro Vice-Chancellor of the College of Sciences.
 - One (1) member appointed by the Pro Vice-Chancellor of the College of Health.
 - One (1) member appointed by the Head of School of Veterinary Science.
 - One (1) member appointed by the Head of School of Agriculture and Environment.
- The Director Massey Ag & Hort Enterprises (or nominee).
- Up to two (2) additional members may be appointed by the AEC itself to assist with scientific or technical matters or with expertise not otherwise represented on the AEC.
- The Animal Welfare Officer is an officer of the AEC whose role is to regularly report on auditing, monitoring and site visits.

Note: Members other than the Chairperson may be appointed for one, two or three years at the discretion of the appointing/nominating parties.

Total membership is to be ten (10) to twelve (12) persons.

2.2.1 Organisational members

When seeking nominations, the AEC will consider the expertise of current members and may suggest that the nominee has experience in areas that are currently poorly represented. Important areas of expertise that should be represented on the AEC include, but are not limited to:

Welfare
Husbandry
Physiology
Pharmacology Companion animals
Pathology in Production animals
Surgery Laboratory animals
Health Wild animals
Nutrition
Ecology
Statistics

A single member may contribute to more than one area of expertise.

2.2.2 External members

Statutory External Members will be paid an agreed amount per half day per AEC meeting for time involved in reading material and attending meetings, and reimbursed for meeting travel costs. Requests for payment will be submitted by Statutory External Members to the Secretary for monthly processing.

2.3 Appointment Procedures

2.3.1 The relevant nominating body shall be contacted to request the appointment of a new AEC member.

2.3.2 At the last meeting of each year, the AEC shall appoint a Deputy Chairperson from the Academic Membership category who may deputise for the Chairperson in his/her absence in the following year.

2.4 Reappointment Procedures

Members may be reappointed for up to two additional terms with the approval of the nominating body, with the exception of the Chair who may serve further terms.

2.5 Vacancies

In the event of any member being absent for a protracted period of time, nominations for a replacement person to stand in for the duration of that particular member's absence shall be sought from the appointing/nominating party concerned.

2.6 Induction and Training of New Members

Induction and training, including NAEAC's new member's induction pack and the Code of Ethical Conduct for the Use of Animals for Research, Testing and Teaching, will be provided as appropriate for newly appointed University and Statutory External Members of the AEC.

3 AEC Procedures

3.1 Frequency of Meetings

The AEC will meet at least once per month (except January). Extra meetings may be scheduled as required.

3.2 Quorum

The quorum for meetings shall be 50% of the number of AEC members plus one (1), with at least two (2) from the Statutory External Membership category.

3.3 Decision Process

The AEC will reach decisions by consensus.

3.4 Conflict of Interest

To achieve impartiality, any member of the AEC who has a protocol before the AEC, or who has a conflict of interest whereby the impartiality of that member could be questioned, will declare it and will withdraw from the AEC's assessment of that protocol.

3.5 Effective Input of AEC Members

Statutory External Members will be encouraged and expected to participate in and be involved with the business of the AEC in the same manner as University appointees.

3.6 Confidentiality

AEC members shall hold in confidence information submitted in the applications.

3.7 Use of Tele/Video Conferencing

In exceptional circumstances, when AEC members find it necessary to attend a meeting using either video or telephone links, those members will be considered part of the quorum.

3.8 Consideration between Meetings

3.8.1 When approval or alteration of an application with manipulations graded A, B or C is required urgently, the Chairperson, or in his/her absence, the Deputy Chairperson, may approve the application *pro tem* only after consultation with all available members of the AEC. Approval will require agreement by all members responding, with a minimum number of responses being that required for a meeting quorum; this approval must be ratified at the next meeting of the AEC in order for the application to be considered approved by the AEC. No *pro tem* approvals for applications graded D or E will be permitted.

3.8.2 Where an application with manipulations graded A, B or C has been deferred at a prior meeting and approval is subsequently required urgently, paragraph 3.8.1 will apply.

3.9 Public Presence at Meetings

Meetings will be conducted in two parts. Part I will consist of general business which the public may attend. Part II will exclude the public so that matters may be discussed without public disclosure when the AEC is satisfied that there are considerations that outweigh the public interest of disclosure.

3.10 Applicant Presence at Meetings

The applicant will not normally be present during the AEC's discussion of an application, but may ask to attend a meeting in support of an application. Similarly, the AEC may require an applicant to be present.

3.11 Recordkeeping and Information Management

Applications and statistical records will be retained for twenty (20) years and then destroyed.

3.12 Reporting of Statistics to MPI

3.12.1 It is a requirement of the Animal Welfare Act 1999 that statistics of animal usage in research, testing and teaching be kept and be made available to the Director-General of MPI annually and if otherwise requested.

3.12.2 To facilitate the requirement set out in paragraph 3.12.1, each researcher or teacher responsible for applications or their nominee must keep a diary or other record of the number of animals used, their source, the procedures they are being, or were, used for, any unanticipated impact on any animal and their ultimate fate at the completion of the study. This must be kept fully up-to-date and may be inspected or requested at any time.

3.12.3 The chief applicant will be required to make a return of these statistics as required by MPI at the completion of a project or upon request.

3.13 Process to amend the CEC

Minor amendments to this code must be notified to MPI. Other amendments must be submitted to MPI for approval.

4 Consideration of Protocols by the AEC

4.1 Criteria for Consideration

4.1.1 Manipulations should be proposed only after due consideration of the relationship between the ethical cost and the potential benefit to be obtained and where those responsible for the research, testing or teaching are thoroughly conversant with the literature and background information on the subject in question.

4.1.2 Before an application is submitted, careful attention should be given to the following:

- a) that the manipulation is necessary as part of an education curriculum, or
- b) that there is good reason to believe the findings will add to the scientific understanding of biological functions and behaviour or will extend the body of knowledge aimed at the improvement of the health and welfare of humans and animals or the productivity of animals or the protection of the environment, and
- c) that alternative methods such as mathematical models, audio-visual means, computer simulation and *in vitro* biological systems cannot provide the required result or fulfil the purpose.

4.1.3 To minimise distress, no animal should be subjected to more procedures than are necessary to achieve the objectives of the research, testing or teaching. The fact that procedures are multiple in regard to individual animals must be brought to the attention of the AEC at the time approval for a procedure is requested. Multiple procedures may be carried out on a single animal only if the applicant can justify that they are necessary and do not cause avoidable harm to the animal. The applicant must also show that, by repeatedly using the same animal, the results from the research, testing or teaching are not compromised.

4.1.4 Animals selected for an experiment should be of an appropriate species and quality. Endangered or threatened species must not be used unless the appropriate permits are obtained and the findings are expected to assist the survival of that species.

- 4.1.5 Research, testing and teaching should be of an appropriate design and performed on the minimum number required to obtain scientifically valid results or meet teaching objectives.
- 4.1.6 All applications involving native fauna (captive or wild) must indicate evidence of approval by the Department of Conservation (DOC) and separate iwi consultation from appropriate representatives. Copies of DOC permits must be forwarded to the Secretary prior to the commencement of any research.
- 4.1.7 Iwi consultation must be undertaken separately to DOC processes and may be written or verbal. In the latter case, the chief applicant is required to document the consultation and forward this to the Secretary.
- 4.1.8 The chief applicant must be a permanent staff member.
- 4.1.9 The procedural requirements of the Code of Ethical Conduct for the Use of Animals for Research, Testing and Teaching do not apply to:
- a) tissues obtained from a slaughter house, farm or at a routine post-mortem examination, where their use is incidental to the reason the animal died or was killed;
 - b) animals subject to diagnosis and treatment in the normal course of veterinary practice. This extends to situations where students examine animals or assist with treatments either at Massey University or in other veterinary practices as part of their course requirements, so long as they are under the supervision of a registered veterinary surgeon;
 - c) animals being farmed under normal animal husbandry practices, so long as there are no additional manipulations;
 - d) Massey staff who are co-applicants on applications approved by other New Zealand animal ethics committees. However, these staff are required to provide a copy of the signed approval by that AEC to the Massey University AEC;
 - e) When a member of staff is on overseas leave, is attached to an overseas institution and participates in research, the responsibility for which clearly resides with the host institution. In this instance, the proposed work should be considered under the regulations pertaining to that country's laws on the use of animals in research, testing and teaching, provided that there is a mechanism in place. Notwithstanding this, the AEC requires the staff member to notify it of the type of research being undertaken and conditions pertaining to that research. If there is doubt in any particular situation, the

matter should be discussed with the AEC.

4.2 Outcomes for Consideration

4.2.1 The AEC will either:

- a) approve an application;
- b) approve an application in principle subject to the provision of minor or technical modifications to the Secretary;
- c) approve an application subject to the provision of specified details to the Secretary and agreed as being acceptable to the Chairperson or a specified sub-group of the AEC;
- d) defer an application subject to the provision of specified details for consideration by the full AEC;
- e) not approve an application.

4.2.2 Decisions will be provided in writing to the chief applicant.

4.2.3 Where modifications, details or clarifications are requested by the AEC, they should be provided as a response to the notification and in separate form to the original application.

4.2.4 In the case of the AEC not approving an application, the applicant may submit a revised application or appeal the decision to the AEC. In the latter situation, further justification or expert opinion in favour of the application shall be produced.

4.3 Maximum Approval Period

Approval for ongoing research, testing or teaching procedures must be resubmitted for consideration at least every three (3) years.

4.4 Power to Suspend, Revoke and Vary Approvals

4.4.1 The AEC may direct that any procedure, whether approved or not approved, be stopped or modified on ethical grounds and the animal(s) either be euthanased or otherwise properly cared for. Between meetings, this power is vested in the Chairperson (or, where appropriate, the Deputy Chairperson) or his/her nominee.

4.4.2 The AEC reserves the right to cancel or suspend a protocol if the chief applicant fails to comply with the reasonable requests of the AEC in a timely or satisfactory manner.

4.5 Protection of AEC Members

4.5.1 No member of an AEC is personally liable for any act done or omitted by the member or the AEC in good faith in the course of the operations of the AEC.

4.5.2 All members of the AEC are covered by the University's Professional Indemnity Insurance policy when carrying out their duties in relation to the AEC, subject to the policy terms, conditions, exclusions and limitations.

4.6 Changes to Approved Applications

Any proposed alteration to an approved application must be considered for approval or noting by the AEC, or by the Chairperson acting with authority vested through paragraphs 3.8.1-3.8.2.

5 Responsibilities of Applicants

5.1 Procedures to be followed

5.1.1 All individuals using animals for research, testing or teaching are to be familiar with the University's Code of Ethical Conduct for the Use of Animals for Research, Testing and Teaching and so signify on their application to the AEC. Guidelines for determining the need or otherwise for AEC approval may be found on the Massey University Animal Ethics website.

5.1.2 The University expects that all researchers and teachers involved in the use of animals, having signified that they have read the Code, will observe it in the spirit as well as the letter.

5.1.3 While the primary responsibility lies with the chief applicant, all co-applicants and other persons involved are also responsible for the well-being of the animals and must give due regard to avoiding or minimising discomfort, distress or pain.

5.1.4 Applications must list and be signed by persons primarily involved and responsible for the manipulations. Where the manipulation is undertaken as part of a professional service by a specialist not otherwise involved in the project, then it is sufficient to merely list the person (e.g. anaesthetist, surgeon, technician, farm manager). It is the responsibility of those signing to ensure that all personnel involved in the manipulations and care of the animals are adequately skilled and aware of their obligations under the Code of Ethical Conduct.

- 5.1.5 Applicants requiring guidance should refer to the Massey University Animal Ethics website or contact the Secretary, Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson of the AEC or the Animal Welfare Officer.
- 5.1.6 Before being submitted to the Secretary, all applications must first receive approval from the Animal Welfare Officer.
- 5.1.7 Each application must have a completed MPI statistics form attached. All animals proposed to be used in the research, testing or teaching must be included in one or more of the specified grades (A, B, C, D or E).
- 5.1.8 The prescribed number of word-processed copies, on the current form, should be submitted by the applicant to the Secretary of the AEC at least seven (7) working days before a regular meeting. Late submissions may be considered by the AEC if accompanied by an explanation of lateness and valid reason for immediate consideration.
- 5.1.9 Procedures with animals that may cause more than momentary or minimal pain or distress should be performed with appropriate sedation, analgesia or anaesthesia, including post-surgical analgesia, in accordance with best veterinary practice. Surgery or other painful procedures must not be performed on un-anaesthetised animals or those paralysed by chemical agents without sufficient justification. In the absence of information to the contrary, investigators should assume that any procedures that would cause pain in human beings will cause pain in other vertebrate species.
- 5.1.10 Paralyzing agents will be permitted only under exceptional circumstances, in the hands of experienced personnel and, normally, under general anaesthesia.
- 5.1.11 Animals that suffer severe or chronic pain, distress, discomfort or disablement that cannot be relieved should be euthanased.
- 5.1.12 Animals should be acquired from specialised breeding programmes wherever possible. Other non-specifically bred animals should be used only if they meet research requirements for health and quality and are acquired legally from a suitable source. Animal shelters, pet shops or other retailer traders of companion species should not be used to source animals without the prior written approval of the AEC.

5.1.13 At the conclusion of a manipulation as defined in the Code, the person undertaking the manipulation, or a senior person responsible for the research, testing or teaching, must make appropriate arrangements for the final disposal of animals either to non-experimental circumstances or by euthanasia. Dead animals must be disposed of in a manner that is compliant with all relevant regulations.

5.1.14 All Acts of Parliament, regulations and by-laws pertaining to the obtaining, holding possession, care and treatment of animals are to be complied with.

5.1.15 Inadvertent breaches of the Code should be corrected or dealt with immediately under the direction of the AEC. Breaches of a serious nature will be dealt with by the AEC in conjunction with the Head of School. Heads of School have a special responsibility to see that staff under their control observe the Code and, to facilitate this, they shall receive from the Secretary, and keep, copies of all currently approved applications involving research, testing or teaching under their jurisdiction.

5.1.16 The AEC may investigate suspected or alleged non-compliance of the Code by an individual(s) and, where transgression of the Code is evident, instigate disciplinary procedures in accordance with the principles set out in Massey University's "Code of Responsible Research Conduct".

5.1.17 The Animal Welfare Act 1999 provides that every person who commits an offence in contravention of, or fails to comply with, any provision of the regulations is liable, on summary conviction, to a fine or to imprisonment or both.

5.2 Appropriate Qualifications

Manipulations must be undertaken by trained individuals or under the direct supervision of trained individuals. This includes euthanasia of animals.

5.3 Transportation of Animals

Animals must be transported under safe, humane and hygienic conditions appropriate to the species. The AEC refers applicants to MPI's Codes of Welfare for guidance.

5.4 Housing of Animals

Animals must be housed so as to ensure that their general health is safeguarded and that undue stress is avoided. Sufficient space, according to the species, should be allocated for each animal. Environmental needs such as temperature, humidity, ventilation, lighting and social interaction should also be consistent with the needs of the species concerned. Animals must receive a supply of

foodstuffs appropriate to their requirements and of the quality and quantity adequate to preserve their health, with free access to water, unless the object of the experiment is to study the effects of variation in these nutritional requirements. The Codes of Welfare published by MPI may contain relevant information.

5.5 Sick and Injured Animals

Sick or injured animals should immediately, according to circumstances, either receive appropriate veterinary care or be euthanased.

5.6 Adverse Events

- 5.6.1 Any event during research, testing or teaching that impacts adversely on animal welfare beyond the approved manipulation(s) will be notified to the Secretary as soon as practicable.
- 5.6.2 When untoward outcomes occur or unplanned euthanasia of animals is required or unplanned deaths of animals occur as a direct result of the research, testing or teaching procedures (or of conditions under which animals are maintained for such procedures), these outcomes, including appropriate necropsy reports, must be reported in writing to the AEC as soon as practicable. The report should also advise the steps being taken to avoid further outcomes of this type.
- 5.6.3 Animals that die unexpectedly or are euthanased prior to their completion of the study (with the exception of 'loss due to normal mortality' as defined below) require a necropsy to be carried out, wherever possible, by either a pathologist or a registered veterinarian. Where a veterinarian carries out the necropsy, the AEC reserves the right to have the report reviewed by a pathologist.
- 5.6.4 No necropsy is required when animals die or are euthanased as part of normal animal management practices. As these losses are considered 'normal', they must be stated in the animal ethics application. Where it has not been indicated that losses are expected or losses exceed expectations, necropsy examination is required.
- 5.6.5 Applicants named on animal ethics applications may, themselves, perform necropsies, but, in order to avoid potential conflicts of interest, the AEC recommends that independent expertise be sought wherever possible.

5.7 Grading

The AEC will grade applications according to ethical cost as set out by the National Animal Ethics Advisory Committee.

6 Monitoring

6.1 Powers of the AEC

The AEC has the power of inspection of animals, their accommodation, and of animal health and experimental records at any time in order to satisfy itself that procedures are being properly carried out. Between meetings, this power is vested in the Chairperson (or, where appropriate, the Deputy Chairperson) or his/her nominee. Any member of the AEC can request access to animals or facilities at any time, subject to the approval of the Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson.

6.2 Monitoring of protocols

6.2.1 Protocols will be monitored at the discretion of the AEC. Generally, the Animal Welfare Officer will undertake this role. Written reports will be provided to the AEC.

6.2.2 Both scheduled and unscheduled monitoring visits will be undertaken.

6.2.3 Where scheduled visits are undertaken, the AWO will inform AEC members of the visit, giving them opportunity to attend subject to their availability.

6.2.4 Where RTT is conducted at University facilities, at least 10% of protocols with manipulations graded A and B, 50% of protocols with manipulations graded C, and all protocols with manipulations graded D and E will be monitored. Where RTT is performed in remote locations, monitoring will be undertaken as is practicable.

6.3 Monitoring of facilities

Facilities will be inspected routinely at least annually by the Animal Welfare Officer who will be accompanied by a member of the AEC as often as is possible but subject to member availability. Written reports from these inspections will be provided to the AEC.

6.4 End of Approval Reporting

6.4.1 The AEC may request a detailed report on any approved research, testing or teaching procedure. Such reports will automatically be required for any procedures where animals fall into Categories D and E. Reports may also be requested for the purposes of educating members of the AEC (e.g. where a technique that is unknown to the AEC is being used).

6.4.2 At the conclusion of the protocol, the AEC will request the applicant to complete a project feedback report and the animal use statistics form. The number of animals approved for use and actually used, and impact grades predicted and reported, will be compared.

7 Complaints Procedures

7.1.1 Complaints of any nature from any origin about the activities of the AEC, its members or its decisions shall be notified to the Chairperson or Provost as appropriate to the circumstance. The responsibility of AEC members to hold information included in applications in confidence (paragraph 3.6) does not prevent AEC members from making a complaint. The complaints will be dealt with according to the following University policies:

- Massey University Code of Responsible Research Conduct
- Massey University Procedure for the Disclosure of Serious Wrongdoing
- Massey University Student Complaints and Grievance Procedures
- Dispute Resolution Procedures at Massey University
- Massey University Policy on Staff Conduct
- Massey University Conflict of Commitment and Interest Policy
- Massey University Harassment and Discrimination at Work Policy

7.1.2 As there is also a corporate responsibility inherent in the Animal Welfare Act 1999, it is expected that all University personnel, whether directly involved in the conduct of a project or not, will inform the AEC if a suspected breach of the Code is detected. The Chair or the Animal Welfare Officer may be contacted in the first instance. Staff may wish to refer to the University document, entitled, "Procedure for the Disclosure of Serious Wrongdoing", to understand the protection they are afforded by the Protected Disclosures Act 2000.

7.1.3 An offence against the Animal Welfare Act may also be reported to the appropriate animal welfare compliance agency.

8 Table of References to Documents Relevant to the Operation of the Massey University Animal Ethics Committee

- Abbreviated Code of Ethical Conduct for signing by additional applicants not on the original application
- Application for Approval of Proposed Research, Testing and Teaching Procedures Using Animals
- Terms of Reference for the Massey University Animal Ethics Committee
- MPI-approved Codes of Animal Welfare
- Operating Plan for the Use of Restricted Veterinary Medicines According to Specific Veterinary Operating Instructions (VOIs) at Massey University
- Massey University Research Practice Policy
- Massey University Code of Responsible Research Conduct
- Massey University Protected Disclosure Policy
- Massey University Procedure for the Disclosure of Serious Wrongdoing
- Massey University Student Complaints and Grievance Procedures
- Dispute Resolution Procedures at Massey University
- Massey University Policy on Staff Conduct
- Massey University Conflict of Commitment and Interest Policy
- Massey University Harassment and Discrimination at Work Policy
- Procedures for Dealing with Requests under the Official Information Act 1982
- Need for Ethical Approval Flowchart
- NAEAC Good Practice Guide for the Use of Animals in Research, Testing and Teaching
- Wildlife Act 1953
- Conservation Act 1987
- Marine Mammals Protection Act 1978
- Animal Welfare Act 1999, Part 6: Use of Animals in Research, Testing and Teaching